C. DUKES SCOTT EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR



DAN E ARNETT CHIEF OF STAFF

Phone: (803) 737-0800 Fax: (803: 737-0801

October 5, 2006

VIA E-FILING & HAND DELIVERY

The Honorable Charles L.A. Terreni Chief Clerk/Administrator South Carolina Public Service Commission 101 Executive Center Dr., Suite 100 Columbia, SC 29210

Re:

Annual Review of Purchased Gas Adjustment and Gas Purchasing Policies of

South Carolina Electric and Gas Company

Docket No. 2006-5-G

Dear Mr. Terreni:

Enclosed please find the original and one copy of the Direct Testimony and Exhibits of Carey M. Flynt and Paul B. Townes in the above referenced docket.

Please note that the attached documents are exact duplicates, with the exception of the form of the signature, of the e-filed copy submitted to the Commission in accordance with its electronic filing instructions.

By copy of this letter we are also serving all other parties of record. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey M. Nelson

JMN/pjm Enclosures

cc:

Patricia Banks Morrison, Esquire Belton T. Zeigler, Esquire Scott Elliott, Esquire John P. Boyd, Esquire

THE OFFICE OF REGULATORY STAFF

DIRECT TESTIMONY AND EXHIBITS

OF

Carey M. Flynt



This Document Is An Exact Duplicate, With T The Form Of The Signature, Of The E-Filed (

DOCKET NO. 2006-5-G South Carolina Electric and Gas Company Purchase Gas Adjustment

1 DIRECT TESTIMONY OF CAREY M. FLYNT 2 FOR 3 THE SOUTH CAROLINA OFFICE OF REGULATORY STAFF 4 DOCKET NO. 2006-5-G 5 SOUTH CAROLINA ELECTRIC & GAS, COMPANY, INC. IN RE: 6 ("PGA PURCHASED GAS ADJUSTMENT") 7 PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, BUSINESS ADDRESS AND OCCUPATION. 8 9 My name is Carey M. Flynt. My business address is 1441 Α. 10 Main Street, Suite 300, Columbia, South Carolina 29201. am employed by the State of South Carolina as Manager of 11 12 the Gas Department for the South Carolina Office of 13 Regulatory Staff ("ORS").

- 14 Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND EXPERIENCE.
- 15 I received a Bachelor of Science Degree in Business Α. Administration, with a major in Accounting from the 16 17 University of South Carolina in Columbia in 1975. I was 18 employed at that time in the electric and gas utility 19 industry and have twenty-five years experience in this 20 field. I joined the South Carolina Office of Regulatory 21 Staff in October, 2004 in my present position. I have 22 testified on numerous occasions before the Public Service

- 1 Commission of South Carolina ("Commission") in conjunction
- with natural gas issues.
- 3 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING?
- 4 A. The purpose of my testimony is to present ORS's findings
- 5 and recommendations resulting from the review of South
- 6 Carolina Electric and Gas Company's ("SCE&G") or
- 7 ("Company") natural gas purchasing policies, industrial
- 8 sales program rider (ISPR), and the operation of the
- 9 Purchased Gas Adjustment Clause ("PGA").
- 10 For the forecast period of November 2006 through October
- 11 2007, ORS also reviewed SCE&G's supply and capacity asset
- 12 management plans. As the Commission is aware, beginning
- November 1, 2006, South Carolina Pipeline Corporation
- 14 ("SCPC") intends to begin operating as an interstate
- 15 pipeline under federal jurisdiction and can no longer
- 16 provide bundled supply and capacity service to its
- 17 customers.
- ORS also reviewed the cost of gas factor for the firm
- 19 customers for the forecast period Novembe 2006 through
- 20 October, 2007
- 21 Q. PLEASE WHAT ARE ORS'S FINDINGS WITH REGARD TO THE COMPANY'S
- 22 GAS PURCHASING POLICIES DURING THE REVIEW PERIOD?

- 1 A. SCE&G purchased all gas supplies from SCPC under Commission
- 2 approved tariffs and procedures. SCE&G's gas purchasing
- 3 practices and policies were in accordance with Commission
- 4 Order No. 2005-653 and enabled the Company to receive
- 5 adequate supplies of firm gas to meet its customers' needs.
- 6 Q. DOES ORS BELIEVE THE OPERATION OF THE COMPANY'S INDUSTRIAL
- 7 SALES PROGRAM RIDER SHOULD CONTINUE?
- 8 A. Yes. ORS believes that this type of program or mechanism
- 9 is required for a natural gas utility to effectively
- 10 compete with alternate fuels in the industrial market. The
- 11 Commission in its Order No. 83-876 Dated December 28, 1983,
- in Docket No. 83-128-G, approved SCE&G's use of a Temporary
- Gas Cost Rider. During the review period, SCE&G's ISPR
- 14 customers' needs were supplied by SCPC. Once SCPC switches
- 15 to an interstate pipeline, their needs will be met by
- 16 SCE&G. The ISPR customers should see no impact from this
- change.
- 18 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE COMPANY'S GAS COST RECOVERY PROCEDURES
- 19 APPROVED BY THIS COMMISSION.
- 20 A. This Commission approved SCE&G's current gas cost recovery
- 21 mechanism in Order No. 2005-653, dated November 8, 2005.
- In that order, the change to a two-part cost of gas
- 23 recovery mechanism was approved. That mechanism involves a

- 1 commodity component which is calculated to recover the
- 2 commodity cost of gas purchased and a demand component
- 3 which is calculated to recover the associated capacity cost
- 4 of ensuring firm gas supplies can be delivered into SCE&G's
- 5 system. The demand charges include the fixed charges by
- 6 upstream pipelines for transportation and storage services.
- 7 Q. PLEASE DISCUSS THE OPERATION OF THE TWO-PART COST OF GAS
- 8 RECOVERY MECHANISM.
- 9 A. All firm customers are charged the same Firm Commodity
- 10 Benchmark. However, the Demand Charges component is
- 11 calculated for each customer class based on its
- 12 contribution to peak design day demand ("PDDD"). Added
- 13 together, these two components equal the PGA factor for
- 14 each customer class.
- In computing the Demand Charges component, SCE&G's net
- 16 revenues generated from interruptible sales and
- 17 transportation service are credited against the net fixed
- 18 upstream pipeline charges. In addition, credits are made to
- 19 reflect the sales of upstream assets through capacity
- 20 release markets.
- 21 Q. PLEASE DISCUSS THE CALCULATION OF THE MONTHLY OVER OR UNDER
- 22 RECOVERY OF GAS COSTS FOR FIRM CUSTOMERS.

- 1 A. The Company calculates monthly over and under balances
- 2 separately for the Firm Commodity Benchmark and for the
- 3 Demand Charges component. Each customer class carries
- 4 forward its own net balance of over and under collections
- 5 monthly. These calculations are filed with the ORS each
- 6 month and ORS audits them annually in this proceeding.
- 7 Q. DURING THE REVIEW PERIOD DID SCE&G FILE WITH THE COMMISSION
- 8 ADJUSTMENTS IN THE PGA FACTORS RESULTING FROM CHANGES IN
- 9 SUPPLIER GAS COSTS?
- 10 A. Yes. Under the provisions of Order No. 2005-653, SCE&G is
- 11 allowed to make monthly adjustments in PGA factors as
- supplier gas cost change. Theses changes are more fully
- detailed in Mr. Paul Townes Testimony.
- 14 Q. WHAT ARE ORS'S FINDINGS REGARDING THE COMPANY'S PURCHASED
- GAS ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE FOR THE REVIEW PERIOD?
- 16 A. ORS finds during the review period, SCE&G recovered its gas
- 17 costs consistent with the currently commission approved
- 18 tariffs and Commission Orders.
- 19 Q. WHAT PROCEDURES HAS THE COMPANY USED IN ESTABLISHING THE
- 20 COST OF GAS FOR THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD BEGINNING IN
- 21 NOVEMBER 2006?
- 22 A. The procedures used in projecting the cost of gas are as
- follows:

1 A) Gas costs are based on the historical twelve months actual gas cost from September 2005 through August 2006. 2 These gas costs are then adjusted for known and measurable 3 changes for the forecasted period November 2006 through 4 October 2007. Specifically, these projected gas costs 5 include the currently approved rates of Carolina Gas 6 Transmission Corporation, Southern Natural Gas ("Southern") 7 and Transcontinental Gas Pipeline Corporation ("Transco"). 8 9 In addition, these gas costs reflect projected well head commodity prices as reported on the New York Mercantile 10 11 Exchange ("NYMEX") twelve-month strip.

- B) The calculated cost of gas is then multiplied by the forecasted sales for the period November 2006 through October 2007. The forecasted sales used are developed to reflect normal weather.
- Q. FOR THE FOLLOWING TWELVE MONTH PERIOD BEGINNING NOVEMBER

 2006, HAS ORS REVIEWED THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE COMPANY'S

 PEAK DESIGN DAY AS SET FORTH IN THE PREFILED TESTIMONY OF

 COMPANY WITNESS R. DOW BAILEY?
- 20 A. Yes. ORS Staff reviewed the development of the Company's peak day design. ORS found the methods, multiple regression models and ARIMA modeling ("Autoregression, Integration, Moving Average"), appropriately weigh data and business

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

judgment to develop SCE&G's peak design day. The disaggregation of customers into groups based on rates, large and small usaqe, and furnace efficiency appropriate in light of the differential sensitivity of groups to temperatures and of threshold temperatures below which consumption rapidly increases. In effect, the regression models take long-term data and analyze trends by groups according to their susceptibility to influences that arise in the short term. ARIMA is sensitive to multiple factors, each of which can produce small sudden changes, whose effects can vary or diminish rapidly. The steps of this modeling process are iterative, so that, with each iteration, business judgment can be applied to bring the model into conformance with real-world experience. The combination of the two procedures allows SCE&G to weigh factors with immediate impacts and to assess longer-term trends less susceptible to such factors, such as trends among customers whose consumption is not much influenced by This approach is appropriate for a forecast for weather. the purposes of a PGA.

Q. HAS ORS REVIEWED THE SHARING ARRANGEMENTS FOR INTERSTATE

CAPACITY AMONG THE SCE&G'S ELECTRIC AND GAS BUSINESS

SEGMENTS?

THE OFFICE OF REGULATORY STAFF 1441 Main Street, Suite 300 Post Office Box 11263 (29211) Columbia, SC 29201

- Yes. The prefiled testimony of Witness Phalen addresses the 1 A. terms of a just recently completed 2006 Memorandum of 2 Understanding ("MOU") regarding gas supply sharing among 3 SCE&G'S electric and gas business segments. This 2006 MOU, 4 replaces the 2005 MOU and the contract for a Resale Firm 5 Transportation Peaking service with the Jasper plant. As a 6 7 result of SCPC transitioning to an interstate 8 transportation pipeline, ORS agrees with the Company's 9 request to eliminate the supply diversity 10 requirements of Order No. 2004-247, Docket No. 2002-5-G.
- 11 Q. HAS SCE&G PROPOSED CHANGES TO ITS GENERAL TERMS AND 12 CONDITIONS ADDRESSING CURTAILMENT OF NATURAL GAS TO 13 CUSTOMERS?
- 14 A. Yes. ORS has reviewed the following proposed additional
 15 requirements applicable to the Company's General Terms and
 16 Conditions requesting the modification of Tariff and
 17 Service agreements to permit:
- Curtailment of gas service to customers within a geographical area or areas by curtailment category.
- Modification of tariffs to assess a penalty against those customers who violate a curtailment order.

- f 1 Modification of the tariff to make permanent the
- 2 authorizations allowing interruptible customers to buy-
- 3 through curtailment periods.
- 4 ORS does not take exception to the explanations given
- 5 regarding the changes as outlined in the prefiled testimony
- 6 and exhibit of Company witness Rose Jackson. ORS has
- 7 reviewed Commission Order No.17,286 issued in Docket No.
- 8 17,016 dated December 20, 1973 and finds that the Company's
- 9 request does not violate the intent of the Commission's
- 10 Order where service would be curtailed by category of
- 11 service.
- 12 Q. MRS. FLYNT, WOULD YOU DESCRIBE THE CURRENTLY APPROVED
- 13 PURCHASED GAS ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE METHODOLOGY WHICH ALLOCATES
- 14 DEMAND COST TO THE FIRM RATE CLASS?
- 15 A. Yes. The Company's two part cost of gas methodology is
- 16 comprised of a Demand component and a Commodity component.
- 17 The Demand component recognizes the demand placed on the
- 18 Company's system by the three firm rate classes of service:
- 19 Residential, Commercial and Industrial. The demand
- 20 allocations are based upon each class's percentage of the
- 21 Company's current Peak Design Day Demand ("PDDD") forecast.
- 22 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE CHANGES TO SCE&G'S FORECAST OF GAS COST
- 23 RECOMMENDED BY ORS.

- 1 A. ORS proposes an alternate allocation of demand costs among
- 2 rate schedules other than based upon Peak Design Day Demand
- 3 (PDDD). It is ORS's position that allocation of demand costs
- 4 among rate schedules based on a 50-50 allocation of Peak
- 5 Design Day Demand and Annual Forecast Sales is the better
- 6 methodology to smooth out the demand costs to firm
- 7 customers.
- 8 Also, ORS requested the NYMEX 12 month strip be updated to
- 9 reflect prices as of September 29, 2006. In addition, ORS
- 10 recommended that an additional approximate \$2 million of
- 11 credits from interruptible sales be recognized.
- 12 Q. WHAT WILL BE THE IMPACT TO SCE&G'S FIRM CUSTOMERS RESULTING
- FROM THE FORECASTED COST OF GAS PROPOSED BY ORS FOR THE
- 14 TWELVE MONTH PERIOD BEGINNING IN NOVEMBER 2006?
- 15 A. The Firm benchmark cost of gas factors proposed are
- Residential \$1.11460 per therm, Small/Medium General
- 17 Service \$1.00434 per therm and Large General Service -
- 18 \$0.96252 per therm. Attached, as Exhibit No.__ (CMF-1), is
- 19 a comparison of a residential customers' annual cost.
- 20 Q. WHAT IMPACT WILL THE SALES TO INTERRUPTIBLE COMPETITIVE
- 21 CUSTOMERS HAVE ON THE FIRM COST OF GAS?
- 22 A. Under the Commission approved SCE&G gas cost recovery
- mechanism in Order No. 2005-653, dated November 8, 2005,

- 1 the Company will credit directly to firm customers cost of
- 2 gas the net revenue it earns from interruptible sales above
- 3 the approved margin. Specifically, this credit to the firm
- 4 customers' cost of gas calculation ORS recommended for the
- 5 forecast period is \$6,144,941.
- 6 Q. HOW DOES THE UNDER-COLLECTION OF GAS COSTS FOR THE TWELVE
- 7 MONTH PERIOD ENDING OCTOBER 2006 IMPACT THE COST OF GAS FOR
- 8 THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDING OCTOBER 2007?
- 9 A. The projected cost of gas for the twelve months period
- 10 November 2006 through October 2007 has been adjusted for an
- under-collection of gas costs in the amount of \$2,372,024.
- 12 Q. WHAT IS ORS'S POSITION WITH SCE&G'S PROPOSED CHANGE IN ITS
- 13 PURCHASED GAS COST RECOVERY MECHANISM INCLUDING ALLOCATION OF
- 14 DEMAND COST AMONG FIRM RATE SCHEDULES AND ALL COSTS AND
- 15 FACTORS CALCULATED MONTHLY FOR A FORWARD LOOKING TWELVE-MONTH
- 16 PERIOD?
- 17 A. ORS continues to recognize that pricing in the natural gas
- market continues to be very volatile. As the Commission is
- 19 aware both SCE&G and Piedmont Natural have historically
- 20 attempted to establish a benchmark cost of gas in billed
- 21 rates for a twelve month period with the opportunity to file
- 22 for a change should dramatic changes in supplier gas cost
- were to occur. During the past year, both SCE&G and Piedmont

1 Natural have filed for Commission approval for out of period 2 adjustments to their cost of gas. In today's volatile 3 natural gas market it is the position of ORS that it is not 4 realistic to think SCE&G or Piedmont Natural gas could 5 forecast a gas cost that would be representative to rate payers for an annual period. ORS supports SCE&G's proposed 6 7 modification its currently approved Purchased to 8 Adjustment Clause (PGA) to be computed on a rolling 12-month 9 forecast of gas supply costs and demand cost related factors 10 each month.

- 11 Q. HAS SCE&G INCLUDED IN ITS PROPOSED PGA CHANGES TO APPLY
 12 INTEREST TO THE DEFERED ACCOUNT BALANCE?
- 13 A. Yes. The Company has proposed to book carrying costs,
 14 positive or negative, on over/under collection balances at
 15 the Company's weighted average cost of capitol of 8.43% as
 16 per the most recent rate order.
- 17 Q. WHAT IS ORS POSITION WITH SCE&G'S PROPOSAL TO APPLY INTEREST
 18 TO THE DEFERED ACCOUNT BALANCE?
- 19 A. It is ORS's position that the Company be allowed to charge
 20 and recover carrying cost on the over/under collection
 21 balances. The applicable interest rate used to calculate the
 22 interest costs is the rate of interest as of the first day of
 23 each month for 10-year U.S. Government Treasury Bills, as

- 1 reported in the Wall Street Journal, plus a transaction cost
- of 65 basis points (0.65 percentage points). The total
- 3 carrying costs rate to include the 65 basis points shall not
- 4 exceed 6%.
- 5 Q. WHAT PROCEDURE IS IN PLACE TO ENSURE THAT NATURAL GAS
- 6 SUPPLIES ARE READILY AVAILABLE TO FIRM CUSTOMERS DURING
- 7 EXTREMELY COLD WEATHER?
- 8 A. ORS has had numerous discussions with SCE&G representatives
- 9 regarding their supply and capacity asset management plans.
- 10 These plans are outlined in Ms. Rose Jackson's testimony and
- ORS believes SCE&G is prepared to meet its customers' needs
- in a reliable manner. Also, SCE&G operates under an end user
- curtailment plan previously approved by this Commission. The
- 14 curtailment plan will limit purchases of natural gas by
- 15 interruptible customers to a level that will not jeopardize
- the Company's obligation to serve its firm customers.
- 17 Curtailments are determined by the category of service that a
- 18 customer is purchasing under and identified in the Commission
- 19 approved General Terms and Conditions accompanying each
- 20 industrial customer's contract. There may be rare situations
- 21 when supplemental deliveries of natural gas may be required
- 22 to forestall irreparable injury to life or property including
- 23 environmental emergencies. These deliveries defined as

- 1 Emergency Service must first be approved by the Company and
- 2 are exempted from curtailment. With the proposed changes the
- 3 company has requested to its curtailment plan, ORS believes
- 4 SCE&G has adequate plans in place.
- 5 Q. HAS ORS REVIEWED THE PROPOSAL IN THE PREFILED TESTIMONY OF
- 6 COMPANY WITNESS KENNETH R. JACKSON WHERE THE COMPANY REQUEST
- 7 APPROVAL TO ALLOW UNCOLLECTIBLE GAS COSTS TO BE REMOVED FROM
- 8 THE COST OF SERVICE AND RECOVERED THROUGH THE FIRM COMMODITY
- 9 BENCHMARK OF THE PGA MECHANISM?
- 10 A. Yes. ORS agrees to the method proposed by SCE&G by which it
- 11 calculates, accounts for and recovers uncollectible gas costs
- 12 effective November 1, 2007. SCE&G proposes the actual cost
- of gas associated with uncollectible accounts will be
- 14 recorded in the gas cost deferred accounts and recovered
- 15 through the firm commodity benchmark of the PGA mechanism.
- 16 The balance of the uncollectible accounts expensed, including
- 17 the company's margin, will be recovered through their Rate
- 18 Stabilization Act ("RSA") filing. The requested change will
- 19 allow SCE&G to recover actual expenses rather than estimates.
- 20 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR PREPARED TESTIMONY?
- 21 A. Yes, it does.

SOUTH CAROLINA ELECTRIC & GAS COMPANY

Comparison of Rates for Residential Value Service

Based on Annual Residential Usage of 750 Therms

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
			Proposed	Total
Month	Therms	Rates Effective	Rates Effective	Difference
		November 1, 2005	November 1, 2006	Col (3)-Col (2)
Nov-05	100	\$167.94	\$156.95	-\$10.99
Dec-05	100	\$167.94	\$156.95	-\$10.99
Jan-06	100	\$167.94	\$156.95	-\$10.99
Feb-06	100	\$167.94	\$156.95	-\$10.99
Mar-06	100	\$167.94	\$156.95	-\$10.99
Apr-06	100	\$167.94	\$156.95	-\$10.99
May-06	25	\$46.97	\$45.95	-\$1.02
Jun-06	25	\$46.97	\$45.95	-\$1.02
Jul-06	25	\$46.97	\$45.95	-\$1.02
Aug-06	25	\$46.97	\$45.95	-\$1.02
Sep-06	25	\$46.97	\$45.95	-\$1.02
Oct-06	25	\$46.97	\$45.95	-\$1.02
	750	\$1,289.46	\$1,217.42	-\$72.04

SOUTH CAROLINA ELECTRIC & GAS COMPANY

Comparison of Rates for Residential Standard Service

Based on Annual Residential Usage of 563 Therms

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
			Proposed	Total
Month	Therms	Rates Effective	Rates Effective	Difference
		November 1, 2005	November 1, 2006	Col (3)-Col (2)
Nov-06	100	\$175.68	\$163.95	-\$11.73
Dec-06	100	\$175.68	\$163.95	-\$11.73
Jan-07	100	\$175.68	\$163.95	-\$11.73
Feb-07	100	\$175.68	\$163.95	-\$11.73
Mar-07	100	\$175.68	\$163.95	-\$11.73
Apr-07	9	\$23.44	\$23.81	\$0.37
May-07	9	\$19.97	\$21.81	\$1.84
Jun-07	9	\$19.97	\$21.81	\$1.84
Jul-07	9	\$19.97	\$21.81	\$1.84
Aug-07	9	\$19.97	\$21.81	\$1.84
Sep-07	9	\$19.97	\$21.81	\$1.84
Oct-07	9	\$19.97	\$21.81	\$1.84
	563	\$1,021.66	\$974.43	-\$47.23

THE SOUTH CAROLINA OFFICE OF REGULATORY STAFF

DIRECT TESTIMONY AND EXHIBITS

OF

PAUL B. TOWNES



SC PUBLIC SERVICE

This Document Is An Exact Duplicate, With The Exception of particle The Form Of The Signature, Of The E-Fikel Copy Submitted To The Commission In Accordance With Its Electronic Filing Instructions

DOCKET NO. 2006-5-G

October 05, 2006

Annual Review of Purchased Gas Adjustment and Gas Purchasing Policies of South Carolina Electric and Gas Company

1		DIRECT TESTIMONY OF PAUL B. TOWNES
2		FOR
3		THE SOUTH CAROLINA OFFICE OF REGULATORY STAFF
4		DOCKET NO. 2006-5-G
5		IN RE: SOUTH CAROLINA ELECTRIC AND GAS COMPANY, INC
6		PURCHASED GAS ADJUSTMENT (PGA)
7		
8	Q.	PLEASE STATE FOR THE RECORD YOUR NAME, BUSINESS ADDRESS
9		AND OCCUPATION.
10	Α.	My name is Paul B. Townes. My business address is 1441
11		Main Street, Suite 300, Columbia, South Carolina, 29201.
12		I am employed by the Office of Regulatory Staff in the
13		Audit Department.
14	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND EXPERIENCE.
15	Α.	I received my Master of Accountancy from the University
16		of South Carolina in 1979. I have over twenty-five years
17		of accounting experience including public accounting and
18		private industry. I was employed in the gas industry for
19		over eight years. I am a licensed Certified Public
20		Accountant in the State of South Carolina.
21	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY INVOLVING SOUTH
22		CAROLINA ELECTRIC AND GAS COMPANY?

CAROLINA ELECTRIC AND GAS COMPANY?

- 1 A. The purpose of my testimony is to present ORS's findings
- 2 and recommendations resulting from an examination of the
- 3 books and records pertaining to the annual review of the
- 4 Purchased Gas Adjustment ("PGA") of South Carolina
- 5 Electric and Gas Company ("SCE&G" or "Company")under
- 6 Docket No. 2006-5-G.
- 7 Q. WHAT ARE THE MOST RECENT COST OF GAS FACTORS APPROVED
- 8 PRIOR TO THIS FILING?
- 9 A. In the prior PGA Docket No. 2005-5-G, Order No. 2005-653
- 10 dated November 8, 2005, the Commission approved a Demand
- 11 Cost of Gas Factor (DCOG) of \$0.15594 per therm for
- 12 Residential, \$0.08083 for Small/Medium General Service,
- and \$0.05688 for Large General Service. The Commodity
- 14 Cost of Gas (CGOG) was approved at \$1.14135 per therm in
- 15 the above docket.
- 16 Q. HAVE THESE FACTORS BEEN CHANGED SINCE THE PRIOR ORDER?
- 17 A. Yes. For the months of September and October 2005, the
- 18 Company used the previously approved methodology which
- 19 accounted for the cost of gas as a single factor.
- 20 Pursuant to Order No. 2005-653 dated November 8, 2005
- 21 the Company was allowed to split the cost of gas into
- 22 two components, CCOG and DCOG. By that same order, an
- 23 additional procedure was implemented to calculate

- 1 cumulative over or under collections for each of these 2 components by the three classes of customers. Residential, Small/Medium General Service, and Large 3 4 General Service. Another procedural change implemented 5 by Order No. 2005-653 was to allow the Company to change 6 the CCOG effective with the 8th billing cycle of any 7 month. In December 2005, the Billing Commodity Cost Per 8 Therm was increased from \$1.141350 to \$1.205650. 9 January 2006 the rate was decreased from \$1.205650 to 10 \$1.141350. In February 2006, the Billing Commodity Cost 11 Per Therm was reduced from \$1.141350 to the current 12 level of \$1.071010. Each of these changes was made on
- 14 Q. HOW DID ORS CONDUCT THE EXAMINATION OF THE COMPANY'S
- 15 DEFERRED COST OF GAS?

13

the 8th billing cycle.

- 16 A. ORS has examined the Company's Cumulative (Over)/Under
- 17 Collection calculations for the twelve months ended
- 18 August 31, 2006 and traced amounts to books and records
- of the Company and to supporting documentation.
- 20 Q. IN CONNECTION WITH YOUR TESTIMONY, DID YOU PREPARE, OR
- 21 CAUSE TO BE PREPARED CERTAIN EXHIBITS?
- 22 A. Yes. Audit Exhibit PBT-1, Audit Exhibit PBT-2, and Audit
- 23 Exhibit PBT-3 are attached to my testimony.

THE OFFICE OF REGULATORY STAFF 1441 Main Street, Suite 300, Columbia, S.C. 29201 Post Office Box 11263, Columbia, S.C. 29211

1 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE FORMAT USED IN AUDIT EXHIBIT PBT-1.

- 2 Audit Exhibit PBT-1 presents the Company's Cumulative 3 (Over)/Under Revenue Collection calculation for the 4 actual test year ended August 31, 2006. Shown first on 5 this exhibit are the (over)/under calculations for the 6 months of September and October 2005. These two months 7 were prepared using the prior methodology that did not 8 separate the commodity and the demand components. As of 9 the end of October 2005 the cumulative under collection 10 was \$16,961,536. Second, this schedule shows the net 11 (over)/under collection calculations for the months of 12 November 2005 through August 2006. The cumulative 13 uncollectible as of August 31, 2006 is \$3,739,076. 14 Including the Company's projections for the months of 15 September and October 2006, the Cumulative (Over)/Under 16 Collection is projected to be \$2,372,003. This differs 17 slightly from the company due to rounding.
- 18 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN AUDIT EXHIBIT PBT-2.
- A. Audit Exhibit PBT-2 shows the calculation of Cumulative

 (Over)/Under Revenue Collection by month for the period

 November 2005 through August 2006 and the projected

 months of September and October, 2006. Column (1)

 presents the Total Firm Commodity Cost and represents

what SCE&G paid for gas less those amounts purchased for
sale to interruptible customers. Column (2) contains the
Firms Sales Therms. All costs and therm sales shown in
Column (1) and (2) have been verified from the invoices
of the supplier and traced to the books and records of
the company. Column (3) calculates the Commodity Cost
Per Therm by dividing Column (1) by Column (2). Column
(4) is the Billing Commodity Cost Per Therm or the
actual rate billed by SCE&G to its firm customer as a
commodity cost. The months of December, January, and
February show two different billed amounts. The
Commission has allowed SCE&G to adjust the commodity
cost during the month before billing cycle 8 through
cycle 21 to minimize the over or under recovery. Column
(5) shows the difference between the actual cost per
therm and the billing cost per therm which is then
multiplied by the volume in Column (2) to arrive at the
Commodity (Over)/Under Collection for each month as
shown in Column (6). Column (7) presents the Demand Cost
(Over)/Under collection component which is calculated on
Exhibit PBT-3. Prior Month Adjustments, which are the
result of supplier and Company corrections, are shown in
Column (8). These corrections were also verified by ORS.

- 1 Column (9) presents the Total Monthly (Over)/Under
- 2 Collection which summarizes the current month (over) or
- 3 under collection including any prior month adjustments
- 4 posted in the current month. Column (10) contains the
- 5 Cumulative (Over)/Under balance, by month.
- 6 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN AUDIT EXHIBIT PBT-3.
- 7 A. This exhibit shows the calculation of the Demand Cost
- 8 (Over)/Under Recovery by month, by customer class. Net
- 9 Firm Capacity Charges, Line (1) amounts are allocated to
- 10 the three customer classes using the percentage
- 11 allocation approved by the Commission in Docket No.
- 12 2005-5-G, Order No. 2005-653, dated November 8, 2005.
- 13 Line (2) contains the Actual Sales Therms for the period
- 14 reported by class of customer. Line (3) presents the
- 15 Actual Demand Charges Per Therm by customer class and is
- 16 a calculation of the Net Firm Capacity Charges on Line
- 17 (1) divided by the Actual Sales Therms on Line (2).
- 18 (Please see the footnote on Exhibit PBT-3 regarding the
- 19 difference in the November calculation.) Line (4)
- 20 presents the Projected Charges Per Therm by customer
- 21 class as approved in Docket No. 2005-5-G, Order No.
- 22 2005-653, dated November 8, 2005. Line (5) is the
- 23 Difference between Actual Demand Charges Per Therm and

- 1 Projected Charges Per Therm by customer class. Line (6)
- 2 represents the (Over)/Under Demand Charges, by customer
- 3 class, calculated by multiplying the demand cost
- 4 differences calculated on Line (5) by the sales volumes
- 5 shown on Line (2). The totals shown on Line (6) are
- 6 then carried forward, by month, to Column (7) on Audit
- 7 Exhibit PBT-2.
- 8 Q. DO YOU SUPPORT THE COMPANY'S PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE
- 9 METHOD FOR THE RECOVERY OF UNCOLLECTIBLE GAS COSTS?
- 10 A. Yes, Currently, SCE&G recovers its uncollectible gas
- 11 costs in its base rates. The proposed change will remove
- 12 the gas cost portion of uncollectible expense from base
- 13 rates and permit the recovery of these costs through the
- 14 purchase gas adjustment. This method will allow the
- 15 Company to recover actual expenses rather than
- 16 estimates, more accurately matching these expenses to
- 17 associated revenues. Upon approval, this procedure will
- 18 start in November 2007.
- 19 Q. DOES THAT CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
- 20 A. Yes, it does.

SOUTH CAROLINA ELECTRIC AND GAS COMPANY, INC.
OVER/UNDER REVENUE COLLECTION
FOR THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED AUGUST 31, 2006

	(E)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(9)	(2)	(8)	(6)	(10)
	Commodity Cost	Commission-		Firm	Unbilled Monthly	Commodity (Over)/	Demand (Over)/	Prior	Total Monthly	Cumulative
Description	Per	Approved	į	Therms	(Over) /Under	Under	Under	Month	(Over)/Under	(Over)/Under
	Therm	PGA Factor	Difference	Sold	Collection	Collection	Collection	Adjustments	Collection	Collection
Beginning Balance at September 1, 2005	September 1, 2005								₩	562,347
Sep-05	\$ 1.542846	\$ 0.90347 \$	0.639376	7,809,213	\$ 4,993,023 \$	₩		\$ 680,063	5,673,086	6,235,433
Oct-05 Total	2.097550	0.90347	1.194080	8,977,601	10,719,974			6,129	10,726,103	16,961,536
November 2005 thru August 2006 Results from Audit Exhibit PBT-2	August 2006 Result	s from Audit Exhibit F	2-18-2	184,481,937		(20,527,698)	6,429,818	875,420	(13,222,460)	3,739,076
Projection										
Sept-06, Projected (A)	0.70709	1.07101	(0.363920)	7,506,000		(2,731,584)	2,603,788		(127,796)	3,611,280
Oct-06, Projected (A)	0.65901	1.07101	(0.412000)	8,872,000		(3,655,264)	2,415,987		(1,239,277)	2,372,003
Totals				217,646,751 \$	15,712,997	(26,914,546) \$	11,449,593	1,561,612 \$	1,809,656	

Note: (A) projections made by SCE&G

SOUTH CAROLINA ELECTRIC AND GAS COMPANY, INC.
OVER/UNDER REVENUE COLLECTION
FOR THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED AUGUST 31, 2006

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(9)	(7)	(8)	(6)	(10)
	Total Firm Commodity Cost	Firm Sales Therms	Commodity Cost Per Therm	Billing Commodity Cost Per Therm	Difference	Commodity (Over)/Under Collection Col.2 X Col.5	Demand (Over)/Under Collection	Prior Month Adjustment s	Total Monthly (Over)/Under Collection	Cummulative (Over)/Under Collection
	s	€9	€	\$	€	<i>ω</i>	₩	€	€	
Beginning Balance at November 1, 2005	November 1, 200	5								16,961,536
Nov-05	27,293,980	15,595,902	1.750078	1.141350	0.608728	9,493,662	795,639	0	10,289,301	27,250,837
Dec-05 Dec-05	12,051,007 34,510,581 46,561,588	7,933,070 22,699,531 30,632,601	1.519085 1.519085 1.52000	1.141350 1.205650 (B)	0.317735	2,996,598 7,114,827 10,111,425	(725,333)	219,955	9,606,047	36,856,884
Jan-06 Jan-06	14,384,800 20,983,211 35,368,011	13,740,442 20,128,127 33,868,569	1.044272	1.205650 1.141350 (B)	(0.161378)	(2,217,405) (1,953,998) (4,171,403)	(1,948,334)	32,347 32,347	(6,087,390)	30,769,495
Feb-06 Feb-06	9,916,062 20,636,886 30,552,948	9,945,301 20,697,878 30,643,179	0.997055 0.997055 0.997055	1.141350 1.071010 (B)	(0.144295) (0.073955)	(1,435,057) (1,530,712) (2,965,769)	(1,376,136)	320,474 320,474	(4,021,431)	26,748,064
Mar-06 Apr-06	18,624,277	26,611,245 16,169,548	0.699865	1.071010	(0.596233)	(9,876,631) (9,640,818)	9,053 958,164	0 0	(9,867,578) (8,682,654)	16,880,486 8,197,832
May-06	6,366,758	9,497,077	0.670391	1.071010	(0.494570)	(3,804,709)	1,759,214	0 0	(2,045,495) (1,255,538)	6,152,337
30-luC 90-boA	4,208,172	6,712,236	0.626940	1.071010	(0.444070)	(2,980,703) (2,751,259)	2,626,997	0 302,644	(353,706)	4,543,093 3,739,076
Su-totals	_	184,481,937				(20,527,698)	6,429,818	875,420	(13,222,460)	
Sept-06, Projected (A) Oct-06, Projected (A)		7,506,000 8,872,000	0.70709	1.071010 1.071010	(0.36392)	(2,731,584)	2,603,788 2,415,987		(1,239,277)	3,611,280 2,372,003
Totals \$	185,759,001	200,859,937				(26,914,546)	11,449,593	875,420	(14,589,533)	

Note: (A) - Projections made by SCE&G Note: (B) - Billing Commodity Cost Per Therm rate changed with billing cycle 8.

SOUTH CAROLINA ELECTRIC AND GAS COMPANY, INC.
CALCULATION OF DEMAND COST (OVER)UNDER COLLECTION
FOR THE PERIOD NOVEMBER 1, 2005 THROUGH AUGUST 31, 2006

Description		Nov-05	Dec-05	Jan-06	Feb-06	Mar-06	Apr-06	May-06	7m-06	Jul-06	Aug-06
(1) Net Firm Capacity Charges: Residential - 73.30% General - 22.65% Large - 4.05%	ν	2,095,111 \$ 647,398 115,760	2,312,002 \$ 714,418 127,744	1,878,941 \$ 580,601 103,816	1,985,932 \$ 613,661 109,727	2,577,280 \$ 796,390 142,401	2,210,207 \$ 682,963 122,119	2,097,220 \$ 648,049 115,876	2,629,881 \$ 812,644 145,307	2,478,495 \$ 765,865 136,943	1,759,354 543,648 97,209
Total	ı	2,858,269	3,154,164	2,563,358	2,709,320	3,516,071	3,015,289	2,861,145	3,587,832	5,381,303	2,400,211
(2) Actual Sales Therms: Residential General		7,989,182 6,077,871 1 528 849	19,306,645 9,114,166 2,322,809	22,817,428 10,311,858 2,110,312	20,496,122 9,482,513 2.159,270	17,126,823 8,979,932 1,941,174	9,252,504 6,576,500 1,454,140	3,770,024 5,392,019 1,374,772	2,773,752 4,921,453 1,257,693	2,203,696 4,269,939 1,151,934	2,125,512 4,402,749 1,200,534
Total	I	15,595,902	30,743,620	35,239,598	32,137,905	28,047,929	17,283,144	10,536,815	8,952,898	7,625,569	7,728,795
(3) Actual Demand Charges Per Therm Residential (General Large	∳ €€€	0.24036 \$ 0.09763 0.06940	0.11975 \$ 0.07839 0.05500	0.08235 \$ 0.05630 0.04919	0.09689 \$ 0.06472 0.05082	0.15048 \$ 0.08869 0.07336	0.23888 \$ 0.10385 0.08398	0.55629 \$ 0.12019 0.08429	0.94813 \$ 0.16512 0.11553	1.12470 \$ 0.17936 0.11888	0.82773 0.12348 0.08097
(4) Projected Charges Per Therm Residential General Large	↔	0.15594 \$ 0.08083 0.05688	0.15594 \$ 0.08083 0.05688	0.15594 \$ 0.08083 0.05688	0.15594 \$ 0.08083 0.05688	0.15594 \$ 0.08083 0.05688	0.15594 \$ 0.08083 0.05688	0.15594 \$ 0.08083 0.05688	0.15594 \$ 0.08083 0.05688	0.15594 \$ 0.08083 0.05688	0.15594 0.08083 0.05688
(5) Difference Between Actual and Projected(Over)Under Residential General Large	₩	0.08442 \$ 0.01680 0.01252	(0.03619) \$ (0.00244) (0.00188)	(0.07359) \$ (0.02453) (0.00769)	(0.05905) \$ (0.01611) (0.00606)	(0.00546) \$ 0.00786 0.01648	0.08294 \$ 0.02302 0.02710	0.40035 \$ 0.03936 0.02741	0.79219 \$ 0.08429 0.05865	0.96876 \$ 0.09853 0.06200	0.67179 0.04265 0.02409
(6) (Over)/ Under Demand Charges Residential General Large Total	⇔ •	674,413 \$ 102,089	(698,676) \$ (22,280) (4,377) (725,333) \$	(1,679,209) \$ (252,906) (16,219) (1,948,334) \$	(1,210,233) \$ (152,811) (13,092) (1,376,136) \$	(93,476) \$ 70,542 31,987 9,053 \$	767,371 \$ 151,385 39,408 958,164 \$	1,509,323 \$ 212,212 37,679 1,759,214 \$	2,197,344 \$ 414,842 73,769 2,685,955 \$	2,134,850 \$ 420,726 71,421 2,626,997 \$	1,427,901 187,774 28,923 1,644,598

Note (A): Per Therm charges were incorrectly calcaluated by SCE&G for Nov. 2005. This error caused an understatement of the (Over)/Under Demand Charges of \$238,562 for the month of November. The correction is reflected in Column (8) of Exhibit PBT-2.

BEFORE

THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF

SOUTH CAROLINA

DOCKET NO. 2006-5-G

ACO.
SC O SO
ON 11:31
1967/4Qs

IN RE:
South Carolina Electric & Gas Company
Annual Review of the Purchased Gas
Adjustment (PGA) and Gas Purchasing

CERTIFICATE OF
SERVICE

This is to certify that I, Pamela J. McMullan, an employee with the Office of Regulatory Staff, have this date served one (1) copy of the **DIRECT TESTIMONY AND EXHIBITS OF CAREY M. FLYNT AND PAUL B. TOWNES** in the above-referenced matter to the person(s) named below by causing said copy to be deposited in the United States Postal Service, first class postage prepaid and affixed thereto, and addressed as shown below:

Patricia Banks Morrison, Esquire

South Carolina Electric and Gas Company 1426 Main Street, M/C 130 Columbia, SC 29201

> Belton T. Zeigler, Esquire John P. Boyd, Esquire Haynsworth Sinkler & Boyd, PA Post Office Box 11889 Columbia, SC 29211

> > Scott Elliot, Esquire Elliott & Elliott, PA 721 Olive Street Columbia, SC 29205

> > > Pamela J. McMullan

October 5, 2006 Columbia, South Carolina

Policies

This Document Is An Exact Duplicate, With The Exception Of The Form Of The Signature, Of The E-Filed Copy Submitted To The Commission In Accordance With Its Electronic Filing